

Outcomes Framework Meeting Notes

8-9am, Wellington Airport

In attendance: Peter McNeur, Bronwyn Yates, Peter Coolbear, Terry Neal, Hannah Baral, Suzanne Snively (from 8.30am)

Bronwyn:

Suggestion on the way forward:

1. Paper (distributed before)
2. Presentation at conference
3. Survey (distributed before or not?)
4. Test the Outcomes
5. Commitment to trial

Using measures that are reflective of government funding.

Suzanne: If ACE is aligned with government objectives, then funding should follow.

Bronwyn: It's about trying to change government.

What we want:

outcomes for learners /outcomes for communities

short term(s)/long-term

What sources of information?

- Publicly available information – listing exercise (how does this help frame the outcomes we want to test)
- What do we need to collect for ourselves – what, how, how much - indicators

- Agree on outcomes
- People emotionally engaged
- People drilling down on what some of the indicators are

At Ako Aotearoa:

Inputs – Outputs – Outcomes

(know inputs) – (have some idea of outputs) – (outcomes: longer term process)

Suzanne: It is a worry that MSD is pushing everyone to the social indicator series.

NSN Tracking – to track the students over a period of time. Talk to Roger Smythe about this.

Looking at limited data. No data across system, across providers

Need to be thinking through the relationship why we are thinking about that particular indicator and what it's going to tell us about what we're doing.

Feedback to institutions.

Being simple:

Layer between student and organisation should be simple. Not confusing to student.

Suzanne: we can design a template at the conference

Bronwyn: we have no mandate to do that. Option:

Template will be explicit what the inputs were, what outputs are being measured and how they relate to those outcomes.

Bronwyn: reserve what she thinks about the template until she's seen what's being presented

Suzanne: Bronwyn welcome to work with PWC on it. She's working with Federation of Volunteers and will think a bit more about this.

Peter: Will talk to James and will come back to Suzanne on this.

Suzanne: confirming that there are 2x sessions at the conference.

Peter's update to PDSG:

How do we understand impact at org level to change what we're doing so that the outcomes for students are better.

Small group began to look at what this might look in practice and how to bring this together to work effectively.

Meeting on Monday, 12 April

Agreed – we think on track but need checking

Initial idea was to sell the process at the conference. Not going to do this now.

Use conference as time for checking back with people to ensure we are not pushing them uncomfortable. Need to show confidence at conference. SA need to show it's prepared to show leadership.

Session with James:

Purpose – limitations in terms of budget, if TEC is prepared to invest in us, HOW? – at a quantum or is there another way of doing it. JT and we're not clear 'who's system is it?'

Need data coming through that is consistent.

James asked Peter to ensure that the process happens and doesn't lose momentum. Some budget allocated to develop the framework.

Letter to Pauline and Andrea to officially inform them of this project.